

# Eye colour: portals into pigmentation genes and ancestry

Richard A. Sturm<sup>1</sup> and Tony N. Frudakis<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

<sup>2</sup>DNAPrint genomics, Incorporated, 900 Coconut Ave, Sarasota, FL 34236, USA


Several recent papers have tried to address the genetic determination of eye colour via microsatellite linkage, testing of pigmentation candidate gene polymorphisms and the genome wide analysis of SNP markers that are informative for ancestry. These studies show that the *OCA2* gene on chromosome 15 is the major determinant of brown and/or blue eye colour but also indicate that other loci will be involved in the broad range of hues seen in this trait in Europeans.

One of the first investigations into the concept of mendelian inheritance in humans was the consideration of eye colour. Iris colour exists on a continuum from the lightest shades of blue to the darkest of brown or black, although genetic studies have usually categorised: blue, grey, green, yellow, hazel, light brown and dark brown (Figure 1a) in addition to the colour deficiencies apparent in those with oculocutaneous albinism. In 1907, the Davenport [1] outlined what is still commonly taught in schools today as a beginners guide to genetics that brown eye colour is always dominant to blue, with two blue-eyed parents always producing a blue-eyed child, never one with brown eyes. Unfortunately, as with many physical traits, this simplistic model does not convey the complexities of real life and the fact is that eye colour is inherited as a polygenic not as a monogenic trait. Although not common, two blue-eyed parents can produce children with brown eyes. The apparently non-mendelian examples of iris colour transmission from parents to offspring, combined with the quantitative nature of iris pigmentation indicate that the inheritance of this apparently simple trait as a dichotomous value must be reconsidered. The use of eye colour as a paradigm for 'complete' recessive and dominant gene action should be avoided in the teaching of genetics to the layperson, which is often their first encounter with the science of human heredity. The phenotypes of eye, hair and skin colour [2] in addition to stature and facial features will always be observed to run in families but families need to know that these are complex traits (i.e. conditioned by several genes) [3].

## Physical basis of eye colour: melanocytes, melanogenesis and ancestry

The physical basis of eye colour is determined by the distribution and content of the melanocyte cells in the uveal tract of the eye (Box 1). The iris consists of several

layers: the anterior layer and its underlying stroma are the most important for the appearance of eye colour [4]. In the brown iris there is an abundance of melanocytes and melanosomes in the anterior layer and stroma, whereas in the blue iris these layers contain little melanin. As light traverses these relatively melanin-free layers, the minute protein particles of the iris scatter the short blue



**Figure 1.** (a) Representative eye colours ranging from blue, grey, green, hazel, light brown to dark brown. Note additional textural qualities such as crypts in the stroma, nevi, a white dot ring and contractional furrows are apparent in some of the irises [24], including a eumelanic border radiating from the pupils in hazel eyes. (b) A plot of a quantitative trait loci (QTL) linkage scan on chromosome 15 for eye colour measured on a three point scale [12]. The location of several pigmentation loci is shown on the x-axis with the centromere indicated by the arrowhead.

Corresponding author: Richard A. Sturm (R.Sturm@imb.uq.edu.au).

### Box 1. The physical basis of human eye colour

A schematic representation of the eye ball from the front view shows the anatomical division of the sclera, the white connective tissue, the iris and the coloured disk surrounding the central black pupil (Figure 1). In cross section view the cornea is seen as a transparent tissue above the iris enabling light to enter through the pupil, which is then focused by the lens onto the retina. The iris comprises two tissue layers, the innermost consists of cuboidal, pigmented cells that are tightly fused and is known as the iris pigment epithelium (IPE), which is formed from the optic cup during development. The outermost layer is referred to as the anterior iridial stroma and is composed mainly of loosely arranged connective tissue, fibroblasts and melanocytes and are of the same embryological origin as dermal melanocytes, which arise and migrate from the neural crest. Apart from albino patients, who lack melanin pigment and have eyes that might appear pink as a result of the reflection of light from blood vessels, the IPE does not exert a major influence on the perceived eye colour of normal individuals because the melanin in this layer is distributed similarly in irides of different colour. Notably, it is the density and cellular composition of the iris stroma that must be considered as major factors in the colouration of the eye [5].

The melanocyte cells are aggregated in the anterior border layer of the iridial stroma, parallel to the surface of the eye, and store melanin pigment in a specialized organelle within their cytoplasm termed the melanosome. White light entering the iris can absorb or reflect a spectrum of wavelengths giving rise to the three common iris colours, blue, green–hazel and brown, but it should be recognized that these broad classifications are simplistic and that there is actually a continuum in the range of eye colours seen in Europeans. The middle of the panel illustrates the intracellular distribution and content of the melanosome particles within the iridial melanocytes with the varied melanin pigment quantity, packaging and qualities giving the range of eye shades [6]. Although blue eyes have similar numbers of melanocyte cells they contain minimal pigment and few melanosomes; green–hazel irides are the product of moderate pigment levels, melanin intensity and melanosome number and with brown irides are the result of high melanin levels and melanosomal particle numbers. Each of these eye colours can occur with or without a darker pigmented iris peripupillary ring, represented to the right of the figure. Insufficient studies have been performed into the nature of the peripupillary ring; however, the possibility that the number of melanocytes, their melanin granule size, distribution or content can differ between ethnic groups has been recognized [26], and further ultrastructural investigations are needed to clarify this issue.




Figure 1. The basis of human eye colour. Abbreviation: N, cell nucleus.

wavelengths to the surface, thus blue is a consequence of structure not of major differences in chemical composition. The number of melanocytes does not appear to differ between eye colours [5], but the melanin pigment quantity, packaging and quality does vary, giving a range of eye shades [6]. The common occurrence of lighter iris colours is found almost exclusively in Europeans (i.e. recent monophyletic, non-East Asian, non-Native American and non-African lineages) and individuals of European admixture. The study of biogeographical ancestry admixture is becoming more popular and soon it might be possible to date the genesis of lighter irides; that is to distinguish whether lighter iris colours are exclusive to the continental European populations, as opposed to unadmixed Middle Eastern or Central and/or Southern Asian populations with whom they share some common ancestry.

There are two forms of melanin pigment particles produced during melanogenesis and both occur in the iris of the eye, the cutaneous and follicular (skin and hair) melanocyte cells (Box 2). However, unlike the skin and hair in which melanin is produced continuously and

secreted, in the eye the melanosomes containing the pigment are retained and accumulate in the cytoplasm of the melanocytes within the iris stroma. Eumelanin is a brown–black form of pigment that is responsible for dark colouration and is packaged in ovoid eumelanosomes, which are striated particles, whereas pheomelanin is a red–yellow pigment produced in granular immature pheomelanosomes [7].

The study of mouse-coat colours and the comparative genomic analysis with other mammals, including humans, has provided enormous insight into the genetic basis of pigmentation [8,9]. Several loci are known to have major effects on pigmentation (Table 1) including the enzymes that are involved in the catalytic formation of melanin [including tyrosinase (TYR), tyrosinase related proteins TYRP1 and dopachrome tautomerase (DCT)], the melanosomal proteins [P and membrane-associated transporter protein (MATP) encoded by the *OCA2* and *MATP* genes, respectively] and the melanocortin-1 receptor (MC1R), which is involved in pheomelanin–eumelanin pigment switching of the melanocyte [7,9].

### Box 2. Melanin pigment formation

Melanin is an inert light-absorbing biopolymer of no fixed size and of uncertain unit structure that is extraordinarily resistant to chemical degradation. Melanogenesis is based on the chemical reactions that take place within the melanosome beginning with tyrosine, dopa and cysteine that result in the formation of the eumelanin and pheomelanin pigments, through a bifurcated biosynthetic pathway [27]. When tyrosine is oxidised by the tyrosinase (TYR) enzyme, dopaquinone (DQ) is produced as an intermediate (Figure 1). In the absence of cysteine, DQ undergoes intramolecular addition producing cyclodopa, with a redox exchange between cyclodopa and DQ giving rise to dopa and dopachrome. Dopa is a substrate that stimulates TYR to further increase the production of DQ and increase the rate of melanogenesis. Dopachrome decomposes to give mostly 5,6-dihydroxyindole (DHI) with the catalytic action of dopachrome tautomerase (DCT) also producing 5,6-dihydroxyindole-2-carboxylic acid (DHICA). These compounds are further oxidised by the TYR and tyrosinase-related protein-1 (TYRP1) enzymes to produce the brown–black eumelanin.

In a separate pathway, DQ can be conjugated with cysteine to give 5-S-cysteinyl-dopa and to a lesser extent 2-S-cysteinyl-dopa. These cysteinyl-dopas are then oxidised to give benzothiazine intermediates that are incorporated into the red–yellow pheomelanin polymer. Little is known about the chemical regulatory or catalytic processes that are involved in pheomelanogenesis, but it is thought that the addition of cysteine to DQ is a rapid process that continues as long as cysteine is made available within the melanosome. The oxidation of cysteinyl-dopas and incorporation into pheomelanin is proposed to continue as long as the cysteinyl-dopas are present. Depletion of melanosomal cysteine and cysteinyl-dopas enables the eumelanogenic pathway to commence, with eumelanin then deposited upon the preformed pheomelanin. Therefore, each melanocyte has the capacity to produce both types of pigment, which are known as mixed melanogenesis. However, the ratios of the two forms of melanin can vary widely between individuals as seen in the different shades of eye, hair and skin colour [2].




Figure 1. The formation of melanin pigment.

### Genetic linkage analysis for eye colour

Early linkage studies for eye and hair colours were performed using blood groups as markers and provided evidence of association of a green or blue eye colour locus [eye colour 1 (*EYCL1*), also known as *GEY*; OMIM 227240; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=OMIM>]

to the Lutheran-Secretor systems on chromosome 19p13.1–19q13.11 [10]. Another major locus for brown or blue eye colour [eye colour 3 (*EYCL3*) also known as *BEY2*; OMIM 227220] and brown hair [hair color 3 (*HCL*); OMIM 601800] was found on chromosome 15q11–15q21 using linkage analysis with DNA markers within this region in

**Table 1. Human pigmentation-related genes<sup>a</sup>**

Locus	Chromosome	Protein	Mutation (phenotype)	Function
<b>Melanosome proteins</b>				
TYR	11q14–11q21	Tyrosinase	OCA1	Oxidation of tyrosine, dopa
TYRP1	9p23	gp75, TRYP1	OCA3	DHICA-oxidase, TYR stabilisation
DCT	13q32	DCT, TRYP2	?	Dopachrome tautomerase
SILV	12q13–12q14	gp100, pMel17, Silver	?	DHICA-polymerisation and melanosome striations
OCA2	15q11.2–15q12	P-protein	OCA2 (eye colour)	pH of melanosome and melanosome maturation
MATP	5p14.3–5q12.3	MATP, AIM-1	OCA4 (skin colour)	Melanosome maturation
<b>Signal proteins</b>				
ASIP	20q11.2–20q12	Agouti signal protein	?	MC1R antagonist
MC1R	16q24.3	MSH receptor	Red hair (skin type)	G-protein coupled receptor
POMC	2p23.3	POMC, MSH, ACTH	Red hair	MC1R agonist
OA1	Xp22.3	OA1 protein	OA1	G-protein coupled receptor
MITF	3p12.3–3p14.1	MITF	Waardenburg syndrome type 2	Transcription factor
<b>Proteins involved in melanosome transport or uptake by keratinocytes</b>				
MYO5A	15q21	MyosinVa	Griscelli syndrome	Motor protein
RAB27A	15q15–15q21.1	Rab27a	Griscelli syndrome	RAS family protein
HPS1	10q23.1–10q23.3	HPS1	Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome 1	Organelle biogenesis and size
HPS6	10q24.32	HPS6	Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome 6	Organelle biogenesis

<sup>a</sup>Abbreviations: ACTH, adrenocorticotropin hormone; DCT, dopachrome tautomerase; DHICA, 5,6-dihydroxyindole-2-carboxylic acid; MATP, membrane-associated transporter protein; MC1R, melanocortin-1 receptor; MITF, microphthalmia-associated transcription factor; MSH, melanocyte stimulating hormone; OCA, oculocutaneous albinism; POMC, pro-opiomelanocortin; TYRP1, tyrosinase-related protein1.

families segregating for *BEY2* [11], with the *OCA2* gene recognized as a candidate within this region. In these studies, a three-point scale of blue–grey, green–hazel and brown eye colour was used. The same three categories have now been used in the first complete genome scan in an attempt to map genes responsible for eye colour using microsatellites at a 5–10 cM level [12]. These studies were performed in a sample of 502 twin families and obtained a peak LOD score of 19.2 in a region on 15q that contains *OCA2* gene (Figure 1b), which had already been implicated in brown or blue eye colour [11]. This peak has a long tail towards the telomere, suggesting that other eye colour quantitative trait loci (QTL) might lie there [interestingly, both the Myosin Va (*MYO5A*) and *RAB27A* proteins that are involved in melanosome trafficking are located in this region [7]). Zhu and colleagues estimate that 74% of variance of eye colour might be due to this single QTL peak and conclude that most variation in eye colour is due to the *OCA2* locus (encoding the P melanosomal protein) but that there will be modifiers at several other loci [12].

#### ***OCA2* and candidate pigmentation gene polymorphism for eye colour**


The human P-gene transcript encoded by the *OCA2* locus consists of 24 exons and is >345 kb [13]. The gene encodes an 838 amino acid open reading frame producing a 110 kD protein that contains 12 transmembrane spanning regions; it has been classified as an integral melanosomal membrane protein. In mouse, the P-protein is encoded by the pink-eyed (*p*) dilute mouse coat-colour locus, and mutations in the orthologous human *OCA2* result in type II albinism [14]. At least 35 apparently non-pathogenic variant alleles of *OCA2* have been identified: 24 of which are exonic and six of these result in amino acid changes (for more information, see the Albinism database [www.cbc.umn.edu/tad/](http://www.cbc.umn.edu/tad/)). Some of these polymorphisms have markedly different frequencies in different populations indicating the potential to explain difference in pigmentation phenotypes between ethnic groups. Using a candidate

gene analysis approach in a sample of 629 individuals the Rebbeck group recently found two of these *OCA2* coding-region variants, R305W and R419Q were associated with brown and green–hazel eyes, respectively [15]. These same polymorphisms were tested in the twin collection described by Zhu *et al.* and each was confirmed as being associated with green and brown but not with blue eyes [16]. Another locus that has been tested for association for human pigmentation phenotypes is the agouti signalling protein gene (*ASIP*) [15]. A g8818A-G single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the 3' untranslated region of this gene was genotyped in 746 participants, and the G nucleotide allele was found to be significantly associated with brown eyes [17].

#### **Genome wide SNP analysis for eye colour**

A recent paper by Frudakis *et al.* has taken a different approach at dissecting the genetic basis of eye colour using SNPs [18]. They used a hypothesis-driven SNP screen, focusing on pigmentation candidate genes and a hypothesis-free approach analogous to admixture mapping to screen a genome-wide set of Ancestry Informative SNP Markers (AIMs) [19]. AIMs are genetic loci showing alleles with large frequency differences between populations and can be used to estimate bio-geographical ancestry and admixture of an individual from founder populations or subgroups (Figure 2).

The candidate gene portion of their study confirmed some associations and introduced others. More than 335 SNPs within 13 known pigmentation genes were screened in 851 individuals of European descent. Individual SNPs and haplotypes significantly associated with eye colour were identified within the *OCA2*, *TYR*, *TYRP1*, *DCT*, *MATP* and *MYO5A* loci. Alleles for several additional genes – *ASIP*, *MC1R*, pro-opiomelanocortin (*POMC*) and Silver homologue (*SILV*) – were associated at the haplotype level but not at the individual SNP level. Of the 335 SNPs in known pigmentation genes, only 61 were associated with iris pigmentation at the SNP level; most of



**Figure 2.** (a) Ancestry admixture percentages plotted in a triangle plot. Each of the three internal axes range from 0% at the base to 100% at the tip or vertex and the relative proportions of admixture correspond to where the ancestry admixture estimate [most likely estimate (MLE)] projects on these three axes (red spot). (b) Tetrahedron plot for presenting individual ancestry admixture. MLE of ancestry admixture (red point at the European vertex) was determined using 175 of the best Ancestry Informative SNP Markers (AIMs) in the genome. The rings around the MLE are confidence contours; any spot within the black ring could represent the true proportions but is up to two times less likely to be correct than the MLE, and similarly the blue and yellow rings represent five-times and ten-times boundaries. The folding the tetrahedron along its three internal lines and the connection of confidence contours through three-dimensional space enables the creation of a three dimensional pyramid and the visualization of the likelihood for all possible three and four-way mixtures. (c) Each plot represents an individual, who described themselves as 'Caucasian'. The colour of the plot depends on which triangle the plot falls in, for example, and red plots are individuals of European, East Asian and Native American admixture, including 0% for any of these three groups. These figures are courtesy of DNAPrint genomics, Sarasota, FL, USA ([www.ancestrybydna.com/triangle.asp](http://www.ancestrybydna.com/triangle.asp)).

these were in *OCA2* on chromosome 15, and these associations were by far the most significant of any gene tested. Notably, the *MYO5A* SNPs (also on chromosome 15) were only weakly associated but were not found to be in

linkage disequilibrium with *OCA2*, suggesting these two genes might act independently to affect eye colour.

After *OCA2*, the *TYRP1* associations were the next strongest, followed by those for *MATP*, which were significant using any colour grouping scheme; this was the first indication that common variants for these genes explain extant human iris in addition to skin colour variation. It is debatable whether the weaker associations found in the other pigment genes are due to low allelic penetrance or are due to the sequences being informative for certain elements of cryptic population substructure that correlate with iris colours.

The hypothesis-free AIM screening produced interesting results for other regions. Linkage disequilibrium can extend for megabases in recently admixed populations and this can be useful for mapping loci that underlie common human traits [19–22]. Frudakis *et al.* used AIMs in an unconventional manner – their goal was to draw a connection between trait value (iris colour) and elements of cryptic population structure that are present within the European population (Figure 2c). AIMs from *CYP2C8* and *CYP2C9* located in 10q23 and 10q24, respectively, were found to be associated with iris colours. Although neither of these genes is a pigment gene, both are located between two Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome (HPS) pigment genes [8,23] that were not tested in the candidate gene portion of the study, *HPS1* (10q23.1–10q23.3) and *HPS6* (10q24.32). Interestingly, the linkage screen by Zhu *et al.* also showed modestly elevated LOD scores for this region [12]. The use of AIMs in this way suggests that crude and cryptic population structure might be useful in developing sequence-based classification tools for complex anthropometric and other human traits, such as iris colour.

### Iris patterns and change of eye colour

The human iris has many other characteristic patterns (Figure 1a) that are not measured through an assessment of eye colour and these will also be under strong genetic influence [24] but remain to be fully investigated. For example, although eye colour is assumed to be fixed for adult life there can be changes as an individual ages or changes in disease states. Notably, there is a genetic component to the drug induced changes that can occur in iris pigmentation for the treatment of glaucoma [25].

### References

- Davenport, G.C. and Davenport, C.B. (1907) Heredity of eye-color in man. *Science* 26, 590–592
- Sturm, R.A. *et al.* (1998) Human pigmentation genetics: the difference is only skin deep. *BioEssays* 20, 712–721
- Badano, J.L. and Katsanis, N. (2002) Beyond Mendel: an evolving view of human genetic disease transmission. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 3, 779–789
- Boissy, R.E. (1998) Extracutaneous Melanocytes. In *The Pigmentary System – Physiology and Pathophysiology* (Nordlund, J.J. *et al.* eds), pp. 59–72, Oxford University Press
- Imesch, P.D. *et al.* (1997) The color of the human eye: a review of morphologic correlates and of some conditions that affect iridial pigmentation. *Surv. Ophthalmol.* 41 (Suppl. 2), S117–S123
- Prota, G. *et al.* (1998) Characterization of melanins in human irides and cultured uveal melanocytes from eyes of different colors. *Exp. Eye Res.* 67, 293–299
- Sturm, R.A. *et al.* (2001) Human pigmentation genes: identification, structure and consequences of polymorphic variation. *Gene* 277, 49–62

- 8 Bennett, D.C. and Lamoreux, M.L. (2003) The color loci of mice – a genetic century. *Pigment Cell Res.* 16, 333–344
- 9 Rees, J.L. (2003) Genetics of hair and skin color. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 37, 67–90
- 10 Eiberg, H. and Mohr, J. (1987) Major genes of eye color and hair color linked to LU and SE. *Clin. Genet.* 31, 186–191
- 11 Eiberg, H. and Mohr, J. (1996) Assignment of genes coding for brown eye colour (*BEY2*) and brown hair colour (*HCL3*) on chromosome 15q. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 4, 237–241
- 12 Zhu, G. *et al.* (2004) A genome scan for eye colour in 502 twin families: most variation is due to a QTL on chromosome 15q. *Twin Res.* 7, 197–210
- 13 Lee, S.T. *et al.* (1995) Organization and sequence of the human *P* gene and identification of a new family of transport proteins. *Genomics* 26, 354–363
- 14 Brilliant, M.H. (2001) The mouse *p* (pink-eyed dilution) and human *P* genes, oculocutaneous albinism type 2 (*OCA2*), and melanosomal pH. *Pigment Cell Res.* 14, 86–93
- 15 Rebbeck, T.R. *et al.* (2002) *P* gene as an inherited biomarker of human eye color. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 11, 782–784
- 16 Duffy, D.L. *et al.* (2004) Interactive effects of *MC1R* and *OCA2* on melanoma risk phenotypes. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 13, 447–461
- 17 Kanetsky, P.A. *et al.* (2002) A polymorphism in the agouti signaling protein gene is associated with human pigmentation. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 70, 770–775
- 18 Frudakis, T. *et al.* (2003) Sequences associated with human iris pigmentation. *Genetics* 165, 2071–2083
- 19 Shriver, M.D. *et al.* (2003) Skin pigmentation, biogeographical ancestry and admixture mapping. *Hum. Genet.* 112, 387–399
- 20 Chakraborty, R. and Weiss, K.M. (1988) Admixture as a tool for finding linked genes and detecting that difference from allelic association between loci. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 85, 9119–9123
- 21 McKeigue, P.M. *et al.* (2000) Estimation of admixture and detection of linkage in admixed populations by a Bayesian approach: application to African-American populations. *Ann. Hum. Genet.* 64, 171–186
- 22 McKeigue, P.M. (2000) Multipoint admixture mapping. *Genet. Epidemiol.* 19, 464–467
- 23 Swank, R.T. *et al.* (2000) Abnormal vesicular trafficking in mouse models of Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome. *Pigment Cell Res.* 13 (Suppl. 8), 59–67
- 24 Larsson, M. *et al.* (2003) Importance of genetic effects for characteristics of the human iris. *Twin Res.* 6, 192–200
- 25 Stjernschantz, J.W. *et al.* (2002) Mechanism and clinical significance of prostaglandin-induced iris pigmentation. *Surv. Ophthalmol.* 47 (Suppl. 1), S162–S175
- 26 Albert, D.M. *et al.* (2003) Iris melanocyte numbers in Asian, African-American, and Caucasian irides. *Trans. Am. Ophthalmol. Soc.* 101, 217–222
- 27 Ito, S. (2003) The IFPCS presidential lecture: a chemist's view of melanogenesis. *Pigment Cell Res.* 16, 230–236

0168-9525/\$ - see front matter © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.  
doi:10.1016/j.tig.2004.06.010

## Unexpected conserved non-coding DNA blocks in mammals

Daniel J. Gaffney and Peter D. Keightley

Ashworth Laboratories, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JT, United Kingdom

**The significance of non-coding DNA is a longstanding riddle in the study of molecular evolution. Using a comparative genomics approach, Dermitzakis and colleagues have recently shown that at least some non-coding sequence, frequently ignored as meaningless noise, might bear the signature of natural selection. If functional, it could mark a turning point in the way we think about the evolution of the genome.**

Few genomic features are more puzzling than the vast amounts of apparently functionless non-coding DNA that make up the greater proportion of human, mouse and many other eukaryotic genomes. However, although the view of non-coding sequence as genomic debris has been widespread, recent results by Dermitzakis and colleagues [1–3] offers a fascinating hint that a significant proportion can retain a function that, for the moment, remains a mystery.

For much of the past 50 years, the functional genome has been viewed as one that codes for protein and, until recently, most evolutionary studies of DNA sequences have focused almost entirely on this translated fraction,

which we now think accounts for as little as 1–2% of both human and mouse DNA [4,5]. Many theories of the origin of non-coding DNA are founded on the perception that the bulk of such sequence is meaningless [6] and invoke random processes of accumulation of this ‘junk’, for example, the action of ‘selfish’ self-replicating elements [7]. Whole genome sequencing has, to some extent, borne these views out. Approximately 40% of mouse and human genomes are composed of the repetitive signatures that characterize past insertion of such retroelements [4,5]. Indeed, ~20% of the entire mouse genome appears to have originated via the activity of a single class of element, the long interspersed elements (LINEs) [5]. However, excluding repetitive DNA sequence still leaves enormous quantities of non-coding sequence that we know little about. One of the most intriguing suggestions arising from the comparison of human and mouse genomes is that protein-coding sequences only account for approximately a fifth of the total amount of each species’ genome that is subject to purifying selection [5]. The implication is that relatively large amounts of non-coding DNA are functional and it is clear, therefore, that the elucidation of potential functions (or otherwise) of non-coding DNA is a primary challenge in evolutionary genomics.

Corresponding author: Daniel J. Gaffney (Daniel.Gaffney@ed.ac.uk).